

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,564	09/30/2003	Seung Sin Lee	031213	6422
38834	7590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			TON, ANABEL	
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20036		2875	

DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/673,564	LEE, SEUNG SIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Anabel M. Ton	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 May 2005. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims		* */			
 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Contact the property of the property o					

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,2,4,5 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,2,4,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bukosky et al (6,749,325) and further in view of Pastrick (6,685,348).
 - Bukosky et al teaches the claimed invention except for the recitation of a drive member pivoting the lamp member by a predetermined angle and the controller having a drive control part. Bukosky et al discloses a lamp device suitable for eliminating a blind spot in an automotive vehicle, comprising a blind spot-eliminating lamp member received, at a predetermined location, in a side panel of a vehicle body (fig 1). The lamp member having an illumination lamp oriented in one direction (30,80) and a turn signal lamp serving as a flasher in the opposite direction (53), and a control member having a lamp control part for controlling the lamp member (Bukosky discloses a signaling assembly and the light sources attached to a circuit board, for the signaling assembly to function a controller must be present to initiate the signaling function of the of the light

Application/Control Number: 10/673,564 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

device). Pastrick discloses a lighted vehicular exterior rearview mirror system with a means for moving the mirror between an open and closed position (528, driver/motor) and a controlling means for controlling the driver of the mirror, a lamp control part that is actuated in a manner interlocked with operation of the drive member (col. 2 lines 55-67, col. 3 lines 1-22), the drive control part comprises a driving motor for pivoting the lamp member by the predetermined angle, and a motor control portion for controlling the driving motor (col. 22 lines 4-17). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Bukosky with the teaching of Pastrick's motorized mirror assembly for the purpose of providing Bukosky with a motorized means for moving the signaling assembly from a closed to and open position further providing the system with a safety feature as taught by Pastrick.

• The illumination lamp is controlled by a signal generated in the lamp control part of the control member, and a reflection mirror which is mounted to be positioned behind the illumination lamp (60,72, since both lights, forward and rearward, illuminated simultaneously, the lamp control part generates the signal to the illumination lamp as well), Bukosky.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M. Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2382. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT

Sandra O'Shea
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800